### SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 778 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

#### Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----

#### USMANBHAI IBRAHIMBHAI QURESHI

Versus

# COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### Appearance:

MR MC KAPADIA for Petitioner
MR.SP DAVE for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

\_\_\_\_\_

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL

Date of decision: 08/03/96

# ORAL JUDGEMENT

The order of detention dt. December 27, 1995 passed by Commissioner of Police, Surat City, Surat in exercise of powers conferred on him by sub sec.(2) of section 3 of the Gujarat Prevention of Anti-Social Activities Act, 1985 against Mohammed Firoz @ Tallai Talli Abdul Gafur @ Gorubhai Gajjar, residing at House No.1/1567, Khalifa Mahollo, Nanpura, Surat is subject matter of challenge in the present petition which is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution.

Mr.S.P.Dave, learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents, on instruction, states that pursuant to report of Advisory Board, the State Government has revoked order of detention on February 12, 1996 and the detenu is released. As the order of detention is revoked by the State Government and the detenu is released, in my view, the petition has become infructuous and deserves to be disposed of accordingly.

The petition, therefore, fails and is disposed of. Rule is discharged, with no order as to costs. The petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous.

\_\_\_\_